| LSK&D #: 02114-6015 / 1014353 |
|-------------------------------|
| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK |
| X |

CANAL INSURANCE COMPANY.

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO.: 08 CV 01588

-against-

ANSWER

GINNETTI TRUCKING, LLC, a Connecticut Corporation, Liability Limited LAURITZEN, individually, EDDYS N. GARCIA, as Administrator of the Goods Chattels and Credits which were of WENDY SANTOS, deceased, EDDYS N. GARCIA, individually, EDDY I. GARCIA, an infant by his father and natural guardian, EDDYS N. GARCIA, KENNY J. GARCIA, an infant by his father and natural guardian, **EDDYS** N. GARCIA, GARCIA, individually, RAFAEL SALVADOR, individually, KEYLIE SALVADOR, an infant by her mother and natural guardian, OLGA RIVERA, individually, GARCIA, ANGEL COMPANY, LLC., a EDART LEASING Massachusetts Limited Liability Corporation, TAUNTON EXPRESS. DASILVA Massachusetts business entity, form unknown,

Defendant.

Defendant, GINNETTI TRUCKING, LLC. ("Ginnetti"), by its attorneys, LESTER SCHWAB KATZ & DWYER, LLP, answering the plaintiff's complaint dated February 15, 2008 respectfully states as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Admits the truth of the allegations in paragraph "1" of the Complaint and respectfully refers the Court to the declaratory judgment complaint for a true and complete statement of its contents, but begs leave to refer all questions of law to the Court.

PARTIES AND JURISDICTION

- 2. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraphs "2" of the Complaint.
- 3. Admits the allegations in paragraph "3" of the Complaint to the extent that Ginnetti is a Connecticut Limited Liability Corporation with its principal place of business located in East Haven, Connecticut and was duly authorized to engage and engaged in the business of transporting gasoline in the State of Connecticut.
- 4. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "4" of the Complaint.
- 5. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "5" of the Complaint.
- 6. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "6" of the Complaint.
- 7. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "7" of the Complaint.
- 8. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "8" of the Complaint.
- 9. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "9" of the Complaint.
- 10. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "10" of the Complaint.
- 11. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "11" of the Complaint.

- 12. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "12" of the Complaint.
- 13. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "13" of the Complaint.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 14. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph "14" of the Complaint and respectfully refers the Court to the declaratory judgment complaint for a true and complete statement of its contents, but begs leave to refer all questions of the law to the Court.
- 15. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph "15" of the Complaint and respectfully refers the Court to the declaratory judgment complaint for a true and complete statement of its contents, but begs leave to refer all questions of the law to the Court.
- 16. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "16" of the Complaint, but begs leave to refer all questions of the law to the Court.

FACTS

17. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "17" of the Complaint, except admits that Canal issued to Ginnetti a Basic Automobile Liability Policy of Insurance bearing policy number 479033, with effective dates of January 19, 2006 through January 19, 2007, and otherwise refers the Court to the Canal policy for a true and complete statement of its contents.

- 18. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "18" of the Complaint, and respectfully refers the Court to the Canal policy for a true and complete statement of its contents.
- 19. Denies each and every allegation in paragraph "19" of the Complaint, except admits that on or about July 2, 2006, a 2006 Kenworth tractor was owned by defendant Ginnetti and being operated by defendant Bruce Lauritzen on eastbound Interstate 95 in or near Darien, Connecticut.
 - 20. Denies each and every allegation in paragraph "20" of the Complaint.
- the truth of the allegations in paragraph "21" of the Complaint, except admits that the Estate of Wendy Santos, Deceased, and as guardian for minor defendants Eddy N. Garcia and Kenny J. Garcia, filed a civil action against Ginnetti in the United States District Court, Eddys N. Garcia, et al. v. Ginnetti Trucking, LLC., Civil Action No. 07 CV 4045 (GEL) seeking damages, and defendant OLGA GARCIA, both individually and as guardian for minor defendant KEYLIE SALVADOR, and defendant RAFAEL SALVADOR, filed a separate civil action in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Bronx County, entitled Olga Garcia, et al. v. Ginnetti Trucking, LLC, Index No: 16038-07, likewise seeking damages arising out of the incident hereinabove described; that the civil action entitled Olga Garcia, et al. v. Ginnetti Trucking, LLC, was subsequently removed to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, and is also presently pending in such Court under Civil Action No.: 07 CV 4045 (GEL); and

that CANAL is providing a defense to GINNETTI in both such actions ("the underlying action").

- 22. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "22" of the Complaint and respectfully refers the Court to the Canal policy for a true and complete statement of its terms and conditions.
- 23. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "23" of the Complaint and respectfully refers the Court to the Canal policy for a true and complete statement of its terms and conditions.
- 24. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph "24" of the Complaint, except admits that the Canal policy includes an Endorsement for Motor Carrier Policies of Insurance for Public Liability under Sections 29 and 30 of the Motor Carrier Act of 1980 ("MCS-90 Endorsement") and respectfully refers the Court to the Canal policy for a true and complete statement of its terms and conditions.
- 25. Admits the allegations in paragraph "25" of the Complaint that there is a potential that the damages which might be awarded against GINNETTI as a result of the two underlying civil actions may exceed CANAL's One Million Dollar (\$1,000,000) "per occurrence" policy limit.
- 26. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "26" of the Complaint.

BILL OF COMPLAINT COUNT ONE - DECLARATORY RELIEF

- 27. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "27" of the Complaint, and respectfully refers the Court to the Canal policy for a true and complete statement of its terms and conditions, but begs leave to refer all questions of law to the Court.
- 28. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "28" of the Complaint, and respectfully refers the Court to the Canal policy for a true and complete statement of its terms and conditions, but begs leave to refer all questions of law to the Court.
- 29. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "29" of the Complaint, and respectfully refers the Court to the Canal policy for a true and complete statement of its terms and conditions, but begs leave to refer all questions of law to the Court.

COUNT TWO - INTERPLEADER

- 30. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "30" of the Complaint, but begs leave to refer all questions of law to the Court.
- 31. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "31" of the Complaint, but begs leave to refer all questions of law to the Court.
- 32. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "32" of the Complaint, but begs leave to refer all questions of law to the Court.

- 33. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "33" of the Complaint, but begs leave to refer all questions of law to the Court.
- 34. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "34" of the Complaint, but begs leave to refer all questions of law to the Court.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

35. The Complaint fails to state a cause of action as to the answering defendant.

AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

36. The incident(s) at issue in the underlying tort action are, or may constitute more than one "occurrence" under the Canal policy.

AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

37. Canal is obligated to provide Ginnetti with a defense in the underlying action and to pay any settlement negotiated or damages awarded against Ginnetti for any liability adjudicated in the underlying action up to the applicable limit(s) of its primary policy.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

38. General Star National Insurance Company, which issued an umbrella liability policy of insurance to Ginnetti, policy no. NXG397153A, in effect on the date of the accident(s) at issue in the underlying action is, or may be obligated to pay any

settlement negotiated or damages awarded against Ginnetti for any liability adjudicated in the underlying action up to the applicable limit(s) of its policy.

AS AND FOR A <u>FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>

Plaintiff has failed to join all necessary parties. 39.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This action is, or may be premature and not yet ripe for determination prior 40. to any judgment entered against Ginnetti in the underlying action.

WHEREFORE, defendant Ginnetti respectfully requests that the Court deny the relief sought by Canal and dismiss the complaint in its entirety, except to issue a declaration that Canal owes a duty to defend Ginnetti in the underlying actions and to pay on behalf of Ginnetti all sums that Ginnetti shall become legally obligated to pay as damages, subject to the applicable limit.

Dated:

New York, New York

May 8, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

LESTER SCHWAB KATZ & DWYER, LLP

Ellen M. Spindler (EMS-4839) Harold Dershowitz (HJD-9910)) Attorneys for Ginnetti Trucking, LLC 120 Broadway New York, New York 10271

(212) 964-6611

TO:

Daniel A. Howard, Esq. McElfish Law Firm 1112 N. Sherbourne Drive West Hollywood, California 90069 Bruce Lauritzen 1011 Durham Road Madison, Connecticut 06443

Gair, Gair Conason, Steigman & Mackauf 80 Pine Street New York, New York 10005

Yanover & Yanover 50 Charles Lindbergh Blvd, Suite 400 Uniondale, NY 11553

Edart Leasing Company, LLC 100 Wales Avenue Avon, Massachusetts 02322

Dasilva Taunton Express 513 John Hancock Road Taunton, Massachusetts 02780

Declaration of Service

I served the annexed ANSWER by first-class mail on the following persons on May 8, 2008:

> Daniel A. Howard, Esq. McElfish Law Firm 1112 N. Sherbourne Drive West Hollywood, California 90069

Bruce Lauritzen 1011 Durham Road Madison, Connecticut 06443

Gair, Gair Conason, Steigman & Mackauf 80 Pine Street New York, New York 10005

Yanover & Yanover 50 Charles Lindbergh Blvd, Suite 400 Uniondale, NY 11553

Edart Leasing Company, LLC 100 Wales Avenue Avon, Massachusetts 02322

Dasilva Taunton Express 513 John Hancock Road Taunton, Massachusetts 02780

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on May 8, 2008.

MAGDALENA DELGADO